

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad-501301


**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering**

23 Apr 2019

**Meeting on Curricular Revisions**



The internal members of the Board of Studies - EEE, SNIST and the interested faculty are requested to gather in the 1112 Lab on 26 April 2019 at 10:30 AM to discuss the modifications/ deletions of the existing courses or addition of new courses in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> year for the 2017 regulation for B.Tech(EEE) programme.


The feedback taken from the faculty on the courses they taught in the 1st and 2nd semesters of Academic year 2018-19 are analyzed and the suggestions given are to be reviewed for curricular updates. In this regard, all BoS members and faculty are required to express their views on the various courses for inclusion/ updation /deletion in the next BoS meeting for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> year of 2017 Regulation.


  
Dr. C Bhargava 23/04/2019

Chairman, BoS and HOD, Dept of EEE









SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Yamnapet, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad-501301

**Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering**

27-4-2019

**Minutes of the Faculty feedback Meeting on Curricular Revisions  
Academic Year: 2018-19**

A meeting is conducted on 26 April 2019 with the EEE faculty member including internal BoS members and the staff to finalize the curricular revisions in the EEE Dept for the upcoming 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> year of B.Tech(EEE) Regulation of 2017.

The ratings given are documented and the suggestions/reviews are analyzed. The following are the suggestions provided by the faculty are enlisted for the approval by the BoS members:

1. Introduction of "**Data Structures**" and "**Python Programming**" courses to the students of 3<sup>rd</sup> year as Open Elective-I and Open Elective-II, respectively.
2. Some new advanced courses like "**Advanced Power Electronics**", "**Communication Theory**" may be introduced under Professional Elective courses.

Dr. C Bhargava  
Chairman, BoS and HoD, Dept of EEE

**Members and Faculty Present:**









**SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND  
TECHNOLOGY (Autonomous)  
Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad-501301  
DEPARTMENT ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS  
ENGINEERING**



Date: 16-11-2019

**Minutes of meeting of Board of Studies held on 16-11-2019 at EEE Department, SNIST, Hyderabad.**

Minutes of the meeting of Board of Studies of B.Tech (EEE) held on 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2019 at 12.00PM in the Electrical and Electronics Engineering Department at SNIST, Hyderabad.

**Members Present:**

Sl.No	Name of the Person	Position	Signature
1	Dr. C. Bhargava, Associate Professor and Head of EEE, SNIST	Chairman	 16/11/2019
2	Dr. K. Bhaskar Associate Professor, EEE DEPT, JNTUH, CEH	JNTU Nominee Approved by VC	 16/11/19
3	Dr. PVN Prasad, Professor of EED, COE, OU, Hyderabad	Member nominated by Academic Counsel	 16/11/19
4	Dr. D.V.S.S .Siva Sarma, Professor of EEE, NIT Warangal	Member nominated by Academic Counsel	 16.11.19
5	Dr. BP Muni, General Manager, BHEL( R&D), Vikas Nagar, Hyderabad	Industry Nominee	
6	M. Uday Shankar, Principal Manager, Qualcomm, Bangalore	Member (Alumini)	
7	Dr.K.Sumanth, Professor, EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
8	Dr.P. Ravi Babu, Professor, EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	 16/11/19
9	Dr. Pratap Sheker Puhan, Professor, EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	 16/11/19
10	Dr. S. Ravichandran, Professor, EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
-11	Mr. Ch.V. Seshagiri Rao, Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	 16/11/19
12	Sri. K.V.V.P.Chari, Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	 16/11/19

Sl.No	Name of the Person	Position	Signature
13	Mr.S.Ramu, Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
14	Mr.T. Praveen Kumar, Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
15	Dr. MTL Gayatri, Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
16	Dr. P. Sharath Kumar Assoc. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
17	Mr.V. Krishna Murthy, Asst. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
18	Mr.T. Abhiram, Asst. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	
19	Mr. PVK Sandeep, Asst. Prof., EEE Dept, SNIST	Member	

### Agenda:

- I. For consideration and Approval of B.Tech (EEE) course structure and proposed syllabus of for III Year I semester and II semester and IV year I semester and II semester for A18 regulations. (Applicable for the batches admitted from 2018-19 onwards).
- II. For consideration and Approval of proposed syllabus for service courses offered by EEE Department to ECE, ME, CSE and IT branches.


### Minutes of Board of Studies meeting (EEE) held on 16-11-19.

- I. B.Tech III year I semester, III year II semester, IV year I semester and IV year II semester course structure and detailed syllabus was discussed and approved with the following suggestions.

The suggestions are as follows:

1. PSO's are to be included in the mapping along with the PO's 'a' to 'k' in the each subject.
2. Avoid "Explain" term in outcomes and follow Bloom's taxonomy in defining CO's.
3. M&I Lab from IV year I semester to be brought to III year II semester.
4. Professional Electives (PE-1, PE-2 & PE-3) planned well but electives under Control systems are not planned well as DCS, ACS and MCS all brought in one semester. (i.e. in IV year I semester).

5. Pre-requisites are to be completed before the Lab/Course comes in next semester.
  6. Open Electives and service courses offered must be shown separately under a service course book branch-wise.
  7. Efforts to be made to bring 'FMHM' theory and lab to II year instead of III year at least in the next revision of syllabus.
  9. Better to have two sets of same experiments so that all students will do first five and then next five experiments, instead of the present practice of conducting all ten experiments at a time.
  10. For Innovation and Design Thinking mapping matrix to be included.
  11. For Switchgear and Protection, CO's need to be completely revised.
- II. Proposed syllabus for service courses offered by EEE Dept. to ECE, ME, CSE and IT were discussed and approved.

  
HOD-EEE

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnapet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2017-18</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Utilisation of Electrical Energy</i>	Year / Semester: <i>3rd yr - 2 sem.</i>
Course Code: <i>5A616</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>4</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>5</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>4</i>

**Suggestions:**

a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_ 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_

b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_

c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_

d) Any other suggestions: Must visit Traction motors at Loco shed C Railways

Signature of Teacher with Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Designation: \_\_\_\_\_

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnapet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>20 17-18</i>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <i>Electrical workshop</i>	Year / Semester: <i>4<sup>th</sup> year - I Sem.</i>
Lab Course Code: <i>4A781</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good -4, Good -3, Satisfactory -2, Not Satisfactory -1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	<i>4</i>
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	<i>4</i>
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	<i>4</i>
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	<i>5</i>
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	<i>4</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : *Yes*
- b) Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *—*.
- c) In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *—*.
- d) Any other suggestions: *—*

*(Signature)*  
**Signature of Teacher with Date:**

**Designation :**

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2017-18</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Electrical Distribution System</i>	Year / Semester: <i>3rd yr - 1 sem</i>
Course Code: <i>3A820</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>4</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>4</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>4</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>4</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>5</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_ 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_

- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_

- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_

- d) Any other suggestions: *Visit to nearby major substation preferably 132kV/22*

Signature of Teacher with Date: 

Designation: *Asst - prof.*



## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <u>Dr P Rav: Babu</u>	Academic year: <u>2017-18</u>
Name of the Course Taught: <u>Electrical Circuits and Networks-I</u>	Year / Semester: <u>I<sup>st</sup> Yr - 2<sup>nd</sup> Sem</u>
Course Code: <u>GA201</u>	Department: <u>EEE</u>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	4
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	4
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	4
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	4
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	4

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date: RA

Designation: Professor

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <u>Dr. P. Ravi Babu</u>	Academic year: <u>2017-18</u>
Name of the Course Taught: <u>Electrical Machines - I</u>	Year / Semester: <u>2<sup>nd</sup> yr - 3<sup>rd</sup> sem</u>
Course Code: <u>EA303</u>	Department: <u>EEE</u>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent - 5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	4
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	4
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	4
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	4
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	4

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date: RA

Designation: Professor

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <u>CH VJ Gini Rao</u>	Academic year: <u>2017-18</u>
Name of the Course Taught: <u>power systems - IV</u>	Year / Semester: <u>IV/I</u>
Course Code: <u>4A714</u>	Department: <u>EEE</u>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	5
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	5
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	5
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	5
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	5
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	5

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course power system - IV with Code No. 4A714 and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced
  - 2) Unit to be modified   2
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned                     .
- d) Any other suggestions:

                      
Signature of Teacher with Date:

                      
Designation : Assoc. prof

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: P. Venkatar.	Academic year: 2017-18
Name of the Course Taught: ET Lab	Year / Semester: III - I
Course Code: SAC94	Department: ECE

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	4
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	4
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	5
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	5
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	5

#### Suggestions:

- Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date:

Designation:

Asst. Prof.

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <u>B. Praveen Kumar</u>	Academic year: <u>2017-18</u>
Name of the Course Taught: <u>Circuits &amp; Networks - II</u>	Year / Semester: <u>II - I</u>
Course Code: <u>GA309</u>	Department: <u>EEE</u>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	4
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	4
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	4
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	4
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	5

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Signature of Teacher with Date:

[Signature]  
Designation:

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <i>Electrical Workshop</i>	Year / Semester: <i>4<sup>th</sup> year - I Sem</i>
Lab Course Code: <i>SA781</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	<i>4</i>
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	<i>4</i>
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	<i>4</i>
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	<i>5</i>
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	<i>4</i>

#### Suggestions:

- Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : *Yes*
- Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *—*
- In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *—*
- Any other suggestions: *—*

Signature of Teacher with Date:

Designation :

*Asst-Prf*

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <i>EM-I lab (D.C. M/c).</i>	Year / Semester: <i>1<sup>st</sup> year - 2<sup>nd</sup> sem.</i>
Lab Course Code: <i>6A473</i>	Department: <i>eee.</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	5
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	5
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	5
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	5
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	4
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	5
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	3.

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : yes
- b) Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- c) In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of Teacher with Date:**

**Designation:** *Asst. Prof.*

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Categorisation of Electrical Energy</i>	Year / Semester: <i>3<sup>rd</sup> year - 2 sem</i>
Course Code: <i>5A616</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>4</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>4</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>5</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>4</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>4</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>5</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: *Visit to major lighting installations & their design.*

Signature of Teacher with Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Designation: *Asst Prof*



## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>V. Krishna Murthy</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Management Science</i>	Year / Semester: <i>4<sup>th</sup> year - 1<sup>st</sup> sem</i>
Course Code: <i>52C02</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>4</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>4</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>4</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>4</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_ 2) Unit to be modified *- II*

- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_

- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.

- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of Teacher with Date:**

**Designation :**

*Asst. Prof*

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>Dr. P. Ravi Babu</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Electrical Machinery - I</i>	Year / Semester: <i>2<sup>nd</sup> yr - 3<sup>rd</sup> sem</i>
Course Code: <i>GA303 GA303</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>4</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>4</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>3</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>4</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>4</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>4</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>4</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date: *RA*

Designation: *Professor.*

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <u>Dr MTL Karjane</u>	Academic year: <u>2018-19</u>
Name of the Course Taught: <u>Smart grids</u>	Year / Semester: <u>IV yr - II sem</u>
Course Code: <u>SA831</u>	Department: <u>EEE</u>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	4
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	3
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	4
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	4
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	3
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	4

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced RES 2) Unit to be modified I, RES (grid ia)

- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_

- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.

- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date: Dr MTL

Designation: ASSOC. prof.

**SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

**TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)**

Name of the Faculty: <u>Dr M.T.L. Kayatri</u>	Academic year: <u>2018-19</u>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <u>M &amp; I lab</u>	Year / Semester: <u>IV year - I sem</u>
Lab Course Code: <u>SA782</u>	Department: <u>EEF</u>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	3+1
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	5
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	5
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	4
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	4
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	3

**Suggestions:**

- Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : Not required, to be updated
- Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned -
- In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned -
- Any other suggestions: -

Signature of Teacher with Date: Dr M.T.L. Kayatri

Designation: Dr M.T.L. Kayatri  
Assoc. Professor

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)

Name of the Faculty: <i>Dr. M.T.L. Lakshmi</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <i>PEE Lab</i>	Year / Semester: <i>III<sup>rd</sup> yr II<sup>nd</sup> Sem</i>
Lab Course Code: <i>SA678</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good -4, Good -3, Satisfactory -2, Not Satisfactory -1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	4
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	5
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	5
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	4
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	4
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	3

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- c) In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned to be updated.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Teacher with Date: *SA M.T.L.*

Designation: *ASSOC. PROF.*

**SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

**TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)**

Name of the Faculty: P. Venkatesh.	Academic year: 2018-2019
Name of the Lab Course Taught: BGE	Year / Semester: II-I
Lab Course Code: 6AC41	Department: CSE

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good -4, Good -3, Satisfactory -2, Not Satisfactory -1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	4
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	4
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	4
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	5
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	5
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	5
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	5

**Suggestions:**

- Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : \_\_\_\_\_
- Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Signature of Teacher with Date:

Designation : Asst. Professor

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>B. Praveen Kumar</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Power Semi conductor drives</i>	Year / Semester: <i>II - II</i>
Course Code: <i>SA613</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>5</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>4</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>4</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>4</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>4</i>

**Suggestions:**

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Signature of Teacher with Date:

*[Signature]*  
Designation: *Asst. Prof.*

## SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

### TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>B. Pavan Kumar</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Electrical Machines II</i>	Year / Semester: <i>II - II</i>
Course Code: <i>SA6A7</i>	Department: <i>EEE</i>

**Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.**

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

**Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory – 1**

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>4</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>4</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>4</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>4</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>4</i>

#### Suggestions:

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course \_\_\_\_\_ with Code No. \_\_\_\_\_ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
  - 1) Unit to be replaced \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) Unit to be modified \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
  - 1) Name of the course \_\_\_\_\_
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned \_\_\_\_\_.
- d) Any other suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

*Bey*  
Signature of Teacher with Date:

Designation: *Asst. Prof.*