

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>T. Charanraj Srikrishna</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Reinforced Concrete design</i>	Year / Semester: <i>III Year - 2nd Sem</i>
Course Code: <i>SK501</i>	Department: <i>CIVIL Engg</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

Excellent - 5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>5</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>5</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the course files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>5</i>

Suggestions:

a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course *nil* with Code No. *nil* and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced *-* 2) Unit to be modified *-*

b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course *nil*

c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *nil*.

d) Any other suggestions: *nil*

T. Charanraj
Signature of Teacher with Date: *08/12/2018*

Designation: *Asst. Professor*

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>T. Chaitanya Sri Krishna</i>	Academic year: <i>2018 -19</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Structural Analysis - II</i>	Year / Semester: <i>IIIrd year - Dist Sem</i>
Course Code: <i>SK502</i>	Department: <i>CIVIL Engg</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

Excellent -5, Very Good -4, Good -3, Satisfactory -2, Not Satisfactory -1

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>5</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>5</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>5</i>

Suggestions:

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course _____ with Code No. _____ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
 - 1) Unit to be replaced _____
 - 2) Unit to be modified _____
- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
 - 1) Name of the course *Nil*
- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned *Nil*.
- d) Any other suggestions: *Nil*

T. Chaitanya Sri Krishna
Signature of Teacher with Date: *21/12/2018*

Designation: *Asst. Professor*

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>Shrawan Kumar</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-2019</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Geotechnical Engineering</i>	Year / Semester: <i>3rd Year / 1st Semester</i>
Course Code: <i>5K514</i>	Department: <i>Civil Engineering</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

Excellent - 5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>04</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>02</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>04</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>04</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>04</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>04</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>04</i>

Suggestions:

- Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course _____ with Code No. _____ and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.
 - Unit to be replaced _____
 - Unit to be modified _____
- Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects
 - Name of the course _____
- Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned _____.
- Any other suggestions: _____

Shrawan Kumar
Signature of Teacher with Date: *07/01/2019*

Designation: *Asst. Professor*

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON PRACTICAL COURSES)

Name of the Faculty: <i>Shradhan Kumar. S.M</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-2019</i>
Name of the Lab Course Taught: <i>Fluid Mechanics Laboratory</i>	Year / Semester: <i>II Year II Semester</i>
Lab Course Code: <i>6K471</i>	Department: <i>Civil Engineering</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

Excellent -5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The list of experiments prescribed in the syllabus is helping the students for attainment of the course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>04</i>
2	Relevance of the Lab experiments with respect to the content of the theory course	<i>04</i>
3	The adequacy of the number of hours allotted for completion of the experiments	<i>03</i>
4	Whether the lab manuals are provided by the Department for effective conduct of experiments and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>04</i>
5	The usefulness of lab manuals for the conduct of experiments	<i>04</i>
6	The experiments in this lab course inculcate experiential learning among the students	<i>03</i>
7	The projects being taken up by the students are found to be useful in lab courses.	<i>04</i>

Suggestions:

- a) Possibility of adding new experiments for improving the experiential learning in the students : _____
- b) Any Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned _____.
- c) In a particular Lab any new experiments are to be added or deleted in the subsequent revision of the syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned _____.
- d) Any other suggestions: _____

Signature of Teacher with Date:

Shradhan Kumar
07/01/2019

Designation :

Asst Professor

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(An Autonomous Institution)

Yamnapet, Ghatkesar, Medchal District, Hyderabad – 501 301.

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK (ON THEORY)

Name of the Faculty: <i>DR. K N SEETHARAM</i>	Academic year: <i>2018-19.</i>
Name of the Course Taught: <i>Fluid Mechanics.</i>	Year / Semester: <i>II year I sem.</i>
Course Code: <i>6K302.</i>	Department: <i>CIVIL ENGINEERING.</i>

Please give your valuable feedback to improve the quality of the programme.

Mention your rating between 1 to 5 for each question.

Excellent - 5, Very Good - 4, Good - 3, Satisfactory - 2, Not Satisfactory - 1

S.No.	Item	Rating (1 to 5)
1	The depth of the syllabus is sufficient for attainment of the defined course outcomes, and thus contributes for the attainment of relevant program outcomes.	<i>5</i>
2	The adequacy of the syllabus for the number of theory hours allotted per week	<i>5</i>
3	Your opinion with regard to pre-requisite(s) required is taken care in the program concerned	<i>5</i>
4	The textbooks prescribed are sufficient to cover entire syllabus	<i>5</i>
5	Your opinion with regard to the need of offering this course as it is a pre-requisite for other courses in the subsequent semesters or which is needed for satisfying the industry requirements	<i>5</i>
6	Whether the courses files are provided by the department for effective conduct of classes and whether they are distributed to the students	<i>5</i>
7	The usefulness of course files for conduct of class work	<i>5</i>

Suggestions:

- a) Possibility of replacing an unit in the syllabus by a Unit which is required to be taught or any modifications to be made in a particular Unit. Please give the name of the course Fluid Mechanics with Code No. 6K302 and the Unit which has to be modified or replaced.

1) Unit to be replaced ✓ 2) Unit to be modified II & IV (Re ordering would be better)

- b) Any new theory course to be introduced either in core or elective subjects

1) Name of the course _____

- c) Any new Lab course or a modified Lab course to be introduced in the subsequent revision of syllabus and mentioned in the Minutes of the Boards of Studies concerned _____.

- d) Any other suggestions: _____

K N Seetharam 19/12/2018
Signature of Teacher with Date:

Designation: Prof & HOD, CED.

Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology
Hyderabad, Telangana State – 501301

Department Of Civil Engineering

Date:- 02-01-2019

CIRCULAR

SUB:- Internal Board of Studies (CED, SNIST) Meeting – Reg

All the internal Board of Studies (CED, SNIST) members and the faculty are requested to assemble in the chambers of the HOD-CED-SNIST on 04-01-2019 at 01:30 pm to identify the courses to be included/modified in the upcoming Curriculum Revision for 2019 Regulation for B Tech Civil Engineering program

The feedback on the curriculum is gathered from the teachers who offered the courses in the 1st and 2nd semesters of Academic year 2016-17, 2017-2018 and 1st semester of 2018-19. The same are analyzed and the suggestions enlisted in the forms are to be viewed for curricular updates.

In this regard, all internal BoS members and faculty are required to express their views on the various courses for inclusion/ updating /deletion in the next BoS meeting for the 2019 Regulation.



Dr K V Seetharam
Professor & HoD
CED-SNIST

**Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology
Hyderabad, Telangana State – 501301**

Department Of Civil Engineering

Date:- 04-01-2019

Teachers feedback Analysis /Action Taken Report

A meeting is convened for the internal members of the BoS and the faculty of CED-SNIST to finalize the curricular revisions in the Civil Engineering Department for the upcoming Regulation of 2018.

Faculty offering the courses to the students of 2nd and 3rd year 1st and 2nd semesters and faculty identified for teaching 4th year B Tech (Civil) – 1st and 2nd semesters gave the feedback on the courses they taught in the 1st and the 2nd semesters along with suggestions on the other curricular aspects.

The ratings given are documented and the suggestions/reviews are analyzed. Valuable suggestions provided by the faculty are considered for the approval by the BoS members.

It may be noted that the Civil Engineering Department started admissions in the AY 2016-17 and a new curriculum is being prepared as opposed to being revised

Following are the key points which have been put forth for the considerations:

1. Proposal for course structure (II Year to IV Year) made according to the AICTE model curriculum for the B Tech Civil Engineering batches admitted from Academic Year 2018-19
2. Suggestions on small changes made to the syllabus of some subjects in 2017 regulation (R-17);
3. Small changes are proposed to the syllabus of some subjects in 2015 regulation (R-15)

P. Laxmi
Srinivas

J. S. Reddy
L. S. Reddy

K. V. Lakshmaiah

SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
(An Autonomous Institution approved by UGC and affiliated to JNTUH)
Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad - 501 301





Department of Civil Engineering

Minutes of Board of Studies Meeting held at Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Yamnampet, Ghatkesar – (Mandal), Hyderabad.





Date: 10th Jan 2019

Time: 2:30 PM to 3.30 PM

The following members participated at the said meeting:

1. Dr. K.V. SeethaRam, Professor & HOD,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST. Chairman 
2. Dr. K.M. Lakshmana Rao,
Director, BICS, JNTU Hyderabad Member (University Nominee)
3. Dr. P Rama Raju, Engineer-In-Chief (Retd.)
Irrigation Department, Government of Telangana 
Member from Industry.
4. Dr. V. Bhikshma, Professor, Department of Civil Engineering,
Osmania University, Hyderabad Member (Nominee from AC) 
10-1
5. Prof. P. Sreenivas Sarma, Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, CBIT, Hyderabad 
Member (Nominee from AC)
6. Dr. T. Shashidhar, Asst. Professor
Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Hyderabad Member (Nominee from AC)

SNIST Internal Members

7. Mr. T. Chaitanya Srikrishna, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad Member 
8. Mr. R. Laxmana Reddy, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad Member 
9. Mr. S.M. Shravan Kumar, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad Member 
10. Mr. N Venkatesh, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad Member 

11. Mr. B Sudheer Kumar Reddy, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad
12. Mr. Shivaraj A Subhedar, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad
13. Mr. L. Sandeep Reddy, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad
14. Mr. K. Nagaraja, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad
15. Mr. S Harish, Assistant Professor,
Department of Civil Engineering, SNIST, Hyderabad

Member



Member




Member



Member

Member



Agenda:

1. To discuss and approve the course structure (II Year to IV Year) made according to the AICTE model curriculum for the B. Tech Civil Engineering batches admitted from Academic Year 2018-19.
2. To discuss and approve small changes in the syllabus of some subjects in 2017 regulation (R-17)
3. To discuss and approve small changes in the syllabus of some subjects in 2015 regulation (R-15)

Minutes of Meeting:

1. BoS chairman Dr. KV SeethaRam (Dr. KVSR) welcomed Dr. V. Bhikshma (Dr VB) and other members and began the meeting presenting the first point of the agenda: R-18 course structure made according to the AICTE model curriculum;
2. Here Dr. VB made some suggestions such as removing biology for engineers subject and matching the names of the subjects as much as possible with the model curriculum names; this suggestion was accepted and the course structure was accordingly updated;
3. It was decided in the meeting that in case any important subject could not be accommodated in the core subjects, at least essence that subject be included in one or two units in one of the related core subject.
4. Some small changes suggested in the R-17 syllabus were checked and approved.